S.B.CR. MISC.II BAIL APPLICATION NO.3850/2006

Date : 11.09.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. B.N. Kalla for the applicant.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that accused applicant may be enlarged on bail as there was one case was pending against him and that resulted in acquittal.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application and submits that in several cases he has been convicted.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of case diary and taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not find it to be a fit case to extend benefit of bail to the

applicant. Accordingly, the bail application moved by the applicant under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is rejected.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij